Attorney Docket No.: 678-1181 (P11003)

REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-14 are currently pending in this application. In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected the claims as follows. Claims 1-14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by *Edwards et al.* (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0032699). The Examiner has also objected to Claims 2, 4, 7, 9, and 11 as containing certain minor informalities.

With respect to the objections asserted by the Examiner on page 2 of the Office Action, Claims 2, 4, 7, 9, and 11 have been amended, as indicated above, so as to adopt the Examiner's suggestions. Accordingly, in view of these amendments, it respectfully requested that the Examiner's objections to Claims 2, 4, 7, 9, and 11 be withdrawn. In addition, Claims 1 and 8 have also been amended without the introduction of any new matter.

In the Office Action, Claim 1 was rejected under §102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by *Edwards*. *Edwards* essentially discloses a network interface for a network browser which includes a pre-processor for recognizing links embedded in hypermedia documents.

In particular, the Examiner cites paragraph 0003 of *Edwards* as essentially teaching the recitations of "wirelessly accessing the Web to receive an HTML document," as recited by Claim 1 of the application. Claim 1 has been amended to further define that which the Applicant claims to be the invention.

Therefore, Claim 1 has been amended, as set forth above, to clarify that the method for displaying a Hypertext Markup Language (HTML) document on a mobile terminal includes the limitation of "wirelessly accessing the Web to receive an HTML document, wherein said

Attorney Docket No.: 678-1181 (P11003)

mobile terminal includes a radio frequency (RF) section through which the HTML document is received."

In contrast to the claimed invention, paragraph 0003 of *Edwards* fails to teach or even fairly suggest a "wirelessly accessing" step wherein the mobile terminal is equipped with a radio frequency (RF) section through which the terminal is then able to receive an HTML document, as recited by amended Claim 1. Rather, in paragraphs 0098-0108 of *Edwards*, *Edwards* merely mentions that an Infra-red Handset (105) is able to establish a wireless, Infra-red connection to the Internet (110) via an Infra-red Receiver (41) and a Processor (120). Unlike the present invention, *Edwards* makes no mention of a mobile terminal which is able to link to the Internet via a "wireless RF connection" so as to effectively receive and display an HTML document.

Accordingly, *Edwards*, standing alone, fails to disclose or even fairly suggest each and every limitation of amended Claim 1 and, as such, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner's rejection of Claim 1 under §102(b) be withdrawn.

Moreover, regarding the rejection of independent Claims 8, also under 35 U.S.C. §102(b), Claim 8 has likewise been amended and now includes similar recitations (i.e., the "wirelessly accessing" step) as those contained in amended Claim 1. Accordingly, it is also respectfully submitted that amended Claim 8 is believed to be in condition for allowable for at least the same reasons as set forth above with respect to the rejection of Claim 1.

Regarding the rejection of dependent Claims 2-7 and 9-14 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b), Claims 2-7 and 9-14 are dependent claims; accordingly, if the above arguments place the independent claims into condition for allowance, it is respectfully submitted that these dependent claims are likewise believed to be in condition for allowance.

Accordingly, all of the claims pending in the Application, namely, Claims 1-14, are believed to be in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone

Attorney Docket No.: <u>678-1181</u> (P11003)

conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the Examiner may contact Applicants' attorney at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul J. Farrell

Registration No. 33,494 Attorney for Applicant(s)

THE FARRELL LAW FIRM, P.C. 333 Earle Ovington Blvd., Ste. 701 Uniondale, New York 11553 (516) 228-3565